

SYNC 3.0: Lake Tahoe Basin Emergency Containment Blueprint

CITY COUNCIL REVIEW EDITION

Prepared for: Municipal elected officials, planning and environmental review bodies, utility and public works leadership, and agency counsel

Prepared by: Primary Catalyst / Municipal Strategy Package

Jurisdictional Use Case: Lake Tahoe Basin emergency containment model for water-constrained, environmentally sensitive, and reliability-critical conditions

SYNC Reciprocity License (v3.0) Notice. This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use. Commercial hyperscale use is conditional. Commercial parties may utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 only if they are legally and contractually bound to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer, including hard demand caps, interconnection governance, and enforceable operating constraints. Use of the efficiency architecture without the public-protection controls is asserted to be outside the scope of the license.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

Public-Use and Distribution Note. Municipal, academic, and non-profit entities may review, discuss, and circulate this document for policy development and public-interest planning under the stated license. Commercial hyperscale implementation is conditional and subject to the license restrictions summarized above.

FOR POLICY REVIEW ONLY — NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION OR PERMIT SUBMISSION

Executive Transmittal Summary

To the Mayor, Members of the City Council, Planning Commissioners, Public Works and Utility Leadership, and Partner Agency Staff:

This memorandum transmits a municipal review edition of the proposed **SYNC 3.0 Lake Tahoe Basin Emergency Containment Blueprint**. The purpose of this edition is not to market a private technology platform, nor to recommend the approval of data-center development as a matter of course. Rather, it is to provide a structured public-interest framework for evaluating whether any compute-intensive load may be considered at all in a jurisdiction where electric reliability, water protection, wildfire resilience, winter access, and environmental stewardship are non-negotiable civic duties.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

systems. This concern is magnified in the Lake Tahoe Basin, where environmental sensitivity, seasonal weather extremes, and public trust obligations require a stricter standard than would be appropriate in conventional industrial zones.

This case study therefore frames SYNC 3.0 as a **bounded municipal containment framework**. The central proposition is that no compute-intensive facility should be treated merely as a private customer with voluntary sustainability commitments. Instead, any such facility should be treated as a conditioned land-use and utility burden that must remain physically governable, environmentally contained, and publicly auditable throughout its operating life.

Under this framework, the governing design premise is a **hard 50 MW ceiling per node**. The node must operate under a strict zero-water posture with respect to Lake Tahoe and connected aquifers, must use closed-loop immersion architecture with PFAS-free dielectric containment, must provide for municipal trip and bounded-ratchet authority through approved safety controls, and must be required to route usable waste heat to civic purposes such as district heating and critical snowmelt infrastructure as a condition of operation. The aim is to prevent an extractive relationship in which local systems absorb reliability and environmental risk while private operators capture the operational upside.

In practical terms, the blueprint assumes that municipal oversight must be more than paper-based. Contract provisions alone are not sufficient where the public consequences of failure include grid disturbances, emergency response complications, or environmental release. Accordingly, the framework contemplates a Terminal Governance Layer consisting of municipal telemetry visibility, approved safety interlocks, hard demand-cap enforcement, and selective trip logic. These mechanisms are described as public-safety and land-use conditions, not as wholesale electric rate regulation.

The document also recognizes the limits of a concept paper. All engineering, interconnection, environmental, legal, thermal-recovery, and financial values herein are **illustrative unless and until validated** by licensed electrical, mechanical, civil, environmental, and legal professionals retained for the relevant jurisdiction and project. The present document should therefore be understood as a council-facing screening and governance framework rather than a permit-ready submittal.

If the Council elects to advance the concept for further study, the next appropriate step would be a staff-directed feasibility and governance review. That review should test, at minimum, whether the hard-ceiling architecture is technically enforceable; whether thermal offtake can be made a real operating condition rather than an aspirational statement; whether the water posture is defensible under local and regional standards; whether emergency-control interfaces can be designed without compromising bulk-system obligations; and whether local permitting documents can lawfully encode these conditions without overstepping state or federal jurisdictional boundaries.

Respectfully submitted for policy review, this case study is intended to assist public decision-makers in distinguishing between ordinary load growth and a containment-governed facility model suitable for one of the most environmentally sensitive and politically consequential operating environments in California and the Tahoe Basin region.

1. Purpose of This Case Study

This section states the public purpose of the document and the decision context for municipal

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@sympioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

local agencies must evaluate not only land use, but also grid contingency exposure, winter operations, environmental containment, and ongoing enforceability.

The case study does not assume that a compute project should be approved. Instead, it establishes the minimum conditions under which such a project could be screened for public compatibility. In this framing, SYNC 3.0 is best understood as a municipal containment envelope: a set of physical, operational, legal, and environmental guardrails intended to ensure that local systems do not subsidize uncontrolled private extraction of power, water, thermal capacity, or public safety margin.

2. Decision Points for City Council and Partner Agencies

Decision Point	Question for Public Agencies	Recommended Direction for Further Review
Staff Feasibility Authorization	Should staff be authorized to evaluate a bounded 50 MW node model rather than a conventional large-load application?	Authorize a formal interdepartmental screening study with utility, planning, public works, environmental, and legal participation.
Conditional Use Permit / Development Agreement	Should any approval require a CUP and development agreement with enforceable operating conditions?	Yes; use discretionary permits and project agreements as the principal land-use control mechanism.
Municipal SCADA / Safety Interlocks	Should the municipality require approved trip, ratchet, and telemetry interfaces as a condition of occupancy and utility service?	Yes; require bounded-write authority and auditable read access subject to engineering and counsel review.
Zero-Water Mandate	Should the project be prohibited from net new consumptive withdrawals from Lake Tahoe or connected aquifers?	Yes; establish a zero net new consumptive water-use policy target and require proof through design and operations plans.
Thermal Offtake Obligation	Should usable waste heat be committed to district heating and snowmelt systems rather than treated as optional?	Yes; require offtake obligations, seasonal contingency planning, and curtailment provisions if sinks are unavailable.
Impact Fee / Ratchet Mechanisms	Should the jurisdiction explore fee and ratchet tools tied to infrastructure burden and long-term public-interest compliance?	Yes, subject to nexus analysis, counsel review, and lawful drafting under applicable state and local authority.

3. Core Municipal Findings

- A monolithic hyperscale load is inconsistent with municipal reliability objectives.** From a local public-interest standpoint, a very large compute load presented as a single extractive facility creates disproportionate exposure to grid contingency, emergency operations, and service concentration risk. The municipal review premise should therefore reject the notion of an unconstrained, monolithic hyperscale approval model.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@sympioticlx.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

- c. **Thermal reuse and no-lake/no-aquifer extraction are essential conditions, not optional sustainability claims.** In a Tahoe Basin setting, a project that depends on consumptive water draw or that rejects usable waste heat without productive civic offtake would not satisfy the containment rationale underlying this concept.
- d. **Municipal oversight must be physical and operational, not only contractual.** Public safety and reliability interests require enforceable controls, including approved interlocks, telemetry, hard-cap enforcement, and emergency operating logic. Paper promises alone are insufficient where consequences of failure extend to public systems.
- e. **Local land-use, franchise, utility, and water agreements are the proper governance channels.** The municipal role is grounded in its authority over land use, building conditions, utility service arrangements, water and wastewater conditions, thermal reuse obligations, and emergency operating covenants, subject to legal review.
- f. **All technical and legal claims require project-specific validation.** This document identifies a governance and design concept. It does not substitute for stamped engineering, interconnection analysis, CEQA/TRPA review, or formal legal opinions.

4. Proposed Project Architecture

The proposed use case is a single **bounded 50 MW SYNC 3.0 immersion compute node** suitable for screening in the Lake Tahoe Basin. The node is conceived as a contained unit with independent protections, independent telemetry, and a defined interface to municipal oversight functions. This case study does not propose a 1 GW campus for Tahoe. It relies, however, on the broader fractal design principle that any future scaling would have to occur through additional independently trippable 50 MW modules rather than a single aggregated load block.

4.1 Architectural Characteristics

- **Cooling medium:** PFAS-free, single-phase dielectric immersion fluid with biodegradable or environmentally preferable characteristics, subject to final product and hazard review.
- **Cooling topology:** Closed-loop containment architecture intended to avoid routine consumptive water dependence.
- **Node limit:** Hard 50 MW operating ceiling enforced through safety and control architecture rather than solely through billing or contractual clauses.
- **Municipal trip input:** Approved external trip or trip-request interface from municipal or utility-authorized supervisory control to a safety-rated logic layer.
- **Safety controls:** SIL-rated or equivalent safety PLC architecture designed to place the node in a safe state under specified failure conditions.
- **Propagation rule:** No automatic cross-module trip propagation is to be treated as a governing design principle in any multi-node expansion scenario.
- **Emergency fallback:** If thermal offtake is unavailable, the node must enter a controlled response sequence involving safe ramp-down, emergency sink confirmation, or isolation consistent with approved operating logic.

4.2 Public-Sector Interpretation

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

5. Water, Thermal, and Environmental Containment Strategy

The Tahoe variant of the SYNC 3.0 framework assumes a strict **zero net new consumptive water-use policy target** with respect to Lake Tahoe and connected aquifers. This means the project should be screened on the assumption that it does not depend on direct lake withdrawals, routine groundwater extraction, or equivalent consumptive water burdens for normal cooling operations.

The thermal strategy is based on a **100% waste-heat offtake policy target** to district heating and critical snowmelt infrastructure. For public review, this target should be understood as an operating condition to be designed around, not as a guaranteed thermodynamic claim. Actual annual recovery will depend on load profile, temperature availability, seasonal demand, storage capacity, and the effectiveness of backup sinks or curtailment protocols. Where thermal demand is mismatched seasonally, the project must provide credible provisions for thermal storage, backup sinks, staged load reduction, or controlled curtailment.

5.1 Environmental Safeguards

- Closed-loop dielectric containment to minimize exposure pathways associated with evaporative or open-loop cooling.
- PFAS-free and environmentally reviewed dielectric fluid selection.
- Secondary containment, leak detection, and emergency isolation for fluid systems.
- Hydrostatic testing and commissioning protocols appropriate to pressure-bearing thermal loops.
- Spill prevention and response planning coordinated with local fire, public works, and environmental agencies.
- Prohibition on routine thermal discharge to the lake or sensitive connected receiving environments, unless separately reviewed and expressly authorized under applicable law.

Containment Objective	Municipal Screening Standard
Water Protection	No net new consumptive withdrawals from Lake Tahoe or connected aquifers for routine operations.
Thermal Reuse	Waste heat committed to productive civic use, with seasonal contingency planning.
Chemical Profile	PFAS-free immersion fluid and documented materials review.
Failure Response	Emergency isolation, containment, and safe-state operating logic.
Environmental Review	Subject to project-specific CEQA/TRPA and other agency review requirements.

6. Municipal Governance and Emergency Controls

The **Terminal Governance Layer** may be described in plain municipal terms as the collection of approved control rights, telemetry visibility, and hard operating constraints that allow a jurisdiction to ensure a privately operated node remains inside the public conditions under which it was approved. It is not merely a software dashboard. It is a governance design that joins permit conditions to physical and operational enforcement.

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

- **Bounded-write authority:** The municipality does not operate the facility as an owner; however, it retains approved ratchet and trip functions necessary to enforce public-safety conditions.
- **Hard demand cap:** The node remains physically bounded to an approved maximum load rather than relying solely on contractual promises of restraint.
- **Exhaustion budget concept:** Long-horizon cumulative operating limits may be incorporated into agreements or hardware-governed logic to prevent uncontrolled expansion of effective extraction over time.
- **Selective trip logic:** Failure, exceedance, or loss-of-sink conditions should trigger node-specific response without creating avoidable cascading effects elsewhere.
- **No monolithic breaker model:** The governing philosophy rejects a single campus-wide 1 GW load presentation and instead requires separable public-control units.

For city-council purposes, these mechanisms should be presented as **public-safety and resilience conditions**. They are designed to protect local infrastructure, environmental constraints, and emergency operations. They are not presented here as wholesale electricity market regulation.

7. Legal and Permitting Framework

This section describes a **proposed framework, subject to counsel review**. It is intended to identify local governance channels that may be relevant to a containment-based project structure without overstating municipal authority in areas reserved to state or federal regulators.

7.1 Potential Local Control Instruments

- **Conditional Use Permit (CUP):** To establish project-specific operating conditions, environmental protections, reporting requirements, and revocation triggers.
- **Development Agreement:** To codify long-term obligations related to load limits, thermal offtake, public benefits, infrastructure participation, and compliance milestones.
- **Franchise, service, or utility-side agreements:** To address service conditions, emergency coordination, interconnection protocols, and approved supervisory interfaces.
- **Water and wastewater conditions:** To prohibit unauthorized withdrawals, condition non-potable or recycled-water interfaces if any are proposed, and align operational permits with local water policy.
- **CEQA/TRPA review and related permitting:** To evaluate environmental impacts, alternatives, mitigation, and consistency with regional protections and basin-specific planning requirements.
- **Impact fee or ratchet mechanisms:** To the extent lawfully supportable, to address infrastructure burdens and long-term compliance discipline through properly analyzed nexus-based tools.

7.2 Jurisdictional Caution

The conceptual position underlying this framework is that local government retains meaningful authority over **land use, building conditions, water, heat reuse, environmental containment, and emergency operating covenants**, even where bulk-power regulation and wholesale market

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@sympioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

Legal Validation Required. Any reliance on local load-governance provisions, impact-fee structures, exhaustion-budget covenants, or municipal trip and ratchet conditions must be reviewed by qualified counsel with expertise in municipal law, utility regulation, land use, CEQA/TRPA matters, and applicable federal preemption doctrines.

8. Public-Value and Resilience Outcomes

If validated and lawfully implemented, the containment model described here may offer public-value features not ordinarily present in conventional data-center proposals. The relevant municipal outcomes include:

- **District heating and snowmelt support:** Directing usable waste heat into civic systems that improve winter resilience and reduce avoidable thermal waste.
- **Winter emergency access:** Potential support for snowmelt on designated routes or facilities, subject to engineering and operations review.
- **Contained load growth:** Hard ceilings and modularity that prevent uncontrolled expansion of local infrastructure burden.
- **Local accountability:** Approval conditions tied to auditable physical operating behavior rather than solely to corporate representations.
- **Reduced water stress:** A policy posture that avoids shifting cooling burden onto sensitive basin water resources.
- **Fault isolation:** Improved ability to isolate and manage abnormal conditions at the node level.

9. Risks, Caveats, and Required Validation

FOR POLICY REVIEW ONLY — NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION OR PERMIT SUBMISSION

This case study should be read with explicit caution. All load, thermal, water, cost, fee, materials, schedule, and permitting assumptions are **illustrative only** unless and until they are confirmed by project-specific engineering, legal, environmental, and utility studies. No numerical value or architectural statement in this document should be used as the sole basis for approval, procurement, environmental determination, or permit issuance.

9.1 Principal Risks

- **Interconnection risk:** Bulk-system and local distribution studies may identify constraints, upgrade obligations, or operating limitations not captured in a concept paper.
- **CEQA/TRPA and environmental risk:** Basin-specific findings, cumulative-impact analysis, and alternative evaluation may materially constrain or preclude the project.
- **Thermal offtake dependency:** A requirement for productive heat reuse is only meaningful if reliable sinks, storage, and emergency fallback pathways are available.
- **Emergency sink design risk:** If thermal sinks fail, safe-state or controlled curtailment strategies must work in real conditions without creating secondary hazards

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@sympioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

- **Procurement and operations complexity:** Safety PLCs, closed-loop immersion systems, municipal telemetry interfaces, and thermal networks create design, maintenance, and training burdens.
- **Public communication risk:** Efficiency claims can be misread as public benefit unless the hard limits and enforceable obligations remain central to all project communications.

9.2 Required Professional Validation

- Electrical interconnection and protection studies by licensed engineers.
- Mechanical and thermal design validation, including failure-mode and emergency-sink analysis.
- Civil and environmental review for containment, access, stormwater, and hazardous-material controls.
- Legal review of all governance instruments, including CUP language, service agreements, fee logic, and operational enforcement provisions.
- Agency coordination with TRPA, utility providers, fire authorities, and any other jurisdiction having permitting or operational authority.

10. Implementation Roadmap

Phase	Primary Work	Public-Sector Deliverable
Phase 1	Feasibility Screening	Staff report assessing site suitability, jurisdictional constraints, water posture, and threshold governance viability.
Phase 2	Agency Coordination	Interdepartmental and interagency scoping with utility, public works, planning, environmental, fire, and counsel.
Phase 3	Legal and Governance Drafting	Term sheets for CUP conditions, development agreement concepts, service conditions, and oversight architecture.
Phase 4	Engineering Basis of Design	Independent technical memorandum validating node architecture, controls, thermal sinks, and emergency response logic.
Phase 5	Environmental Review	CEQA/TRPA pathway determination, issue matrix, and project-specific studies.
Phase 6	Pilot / Validation Planning	Commissioning, telemetry, and operational test protocol for public verification of key controls.
Phase 7	Final Approvals	Council and agency action only after validated findings, enforceable documents, and completed review record.

11. Recommended Council Direction

1. Direct staff to treat any compute-intensive project in the Tahoe Basin as a public-safety, environmental-containment, and infrastructure-governance matter rather than a conventional commercial load application

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>

3. Require that any future applicant demonstrate a zero net new consumptive water-use posture and a credible thermal offtake and contingency plan.
4. Require counsel and technical staff to assess the legality and design feasibility of municipal telemetry, trip, ratchet, and hard-cap enforcement provisions.
5. Decline to consider any monolithic hyperscale configuration that lacks node-level isolation and enforceable public-interest controls.
6. Return the matter to Council only after staff can present validated engineering, legal, environmental, and interconnection findings.

12. Conclusion

The Lake Tahoe Basin variant of SYNC 3.0 should be evaluated not as a generic technology proposal, but as a **municipal containment and resilience framework**. Its central value, if any, lies in its effort to convert a potentially extractive private load into a physically bounded, publicly conditioned, and environmentally accountable operating model. Whether that model is ultimately feasible or lawful in a specific jurisdiction is a matter for detailed professional review. The Council's role at this stage is to determine whether further study should proceed under strict public-interest guardrails or whether the underlying risk profile is inconsistent with local policy objectives.

Submitted for municipal policy review.

Primary Catalyst / Municipal Strategy Package
SYNC 3.0 Lake Tahoe Basin Review Edition

THE SYNC RECIPROCITY LICENSE (v3.0)

This architecture and its associated engineering blueprints are open and free for municipal, academic, and non-profit use.

For Commercial Hyperscale Developers: You are granted a license to utilize the hardware efficiencies of SYNC 3.0 (Pillars 1-4) IF AND ONLY IF you legally and contractually bind your facility to the Municipal Collapse-Prevention Layer (Pillars 5-7).

Implementing the cooling and edge efficiencies of this protocol without implementing the Hard Demand Caps and Interconnection Ledgers constitutes a direct violation of this license and copyright infringement.

Lead Architect & IP Holder: Yuna A. Moon | yuna@symbioticlxi.org | (310) 776-5359 | <https://silxi.pages.dev>